

June 19, 2008

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Mr. Joe [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Re: **j2 Global Communications, Inc. v. Protus IP Solutions, Inc.**
United States District Court Case No. CV06-0566 DDP (AJWx)

Dear Mr. Shields:


Thank you for your letter today. As I stated in my letter this morning, we believe that your deposition is both relevant and essential to the pending litigation. I can assure you that scheduling your deposition has nothing to do with retaliation, and frankly, I am offended by such an assertion.

I have and will continue to work with you in a purely professional manner. As such, I would like to re-extend my earlier offer to reschedule the deposition on a date and time (and location) that will best accommodate you. If, however, you are refusing to attend under any circumstance, we will keep the current subpoena in place and seek all appropriate remedies from the court if you fail to appear. Please note that there are consequences for failing to appear under a federal subpoena, including the possibility of being held in contempt of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division. Accordingly, it may be prudent for you to speak with an attorney before you decide not to appear for your deposition.

I continue to look forward to hearing from either you or your counsel.

Sincerely,

GREENSFELDER, HEMKER & GALE, P.C.

By 
J. Andrew Walkup

Comment: Notice that he repeats his legalize from his previous letter and continues to avoid providing any explanation of what it is I am supposed to know. More legalize - he hasn't worked with me except for making vague statements that he is. He goes on to imply that my refusing to support his clients junk fax agenda is refusing to attend his deposition. Here's where he really gets into his threats "...seek all appropriate remedies." No matter how one reads it this is a threat! He makes another threat saying there are "consequences". Then he gets to the real reason reason for the subpoena - in the courts name he threatens me with contempt. And he again makes the veiled threat that I need to spend my families income on an attorney.